Davidsonia pruriens F. Muell. Fruit and Leaf Extracts Lack Antibacterial and Antifungal Activity
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: High antioxidant levels have been linked with multiple therapeutic properties, including antibacterial activity. Therefore, high antioxidant plant preparations are good targets for antibacterial testing. D. pruriens has high antioxidant capacity although it is yet to be tested for the ability to inhibit the growth of bacterial pathogens. Methods: The ability of D. pruriens fruit and leaf extracts to inhibit the growth of a panel of bacterial and fungal pathogens was investigated by disc diffusion assay. Toxicity was examined using the Artemia franciscana nauplii bioassay. Results: All D. pruriens fruit and leaf extracts were completely ineffective at inhibiting the growth of gram-positive and gram-negative panels of bacteria, as well as fungi. The extracts were non-toxic in the Artemia bioassay following 24 h exposure. Conclusion: Despite their high antioxidant capacity, D. pruriens fruit and leaf extracts were completely ineffective bacterial and fungal growth inhibitors. However, these extracts may have other therapeutic properties and testing against protozoa, virus and tumour cells is required. Key words: Cunoniaceae, Davidson’s plum, Antibacterial activity, Australian plant, Traditional medicine, Medicinal plants, Toxicity.
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INTRODUCTION

Traditional plant derived medicines have been used in most parts of the world for a variety of therapeutic purposes, including fighting microbial disease. Indeed, the ability of plant extracts to block the growth of pathogenic bacteria has become a focus of substantial recent study.¹⁻³ Much of the research into traditional medicinal plant use has focused on Asian,⁴⁻⁶ African,⁷⁻¹¹ Middle Eastern¹²⁻¹⁴ and South American¹⁵ plants. The recent establishment of bacterial pathogens that are either extremely (XDR) or totally resistant (TDR) to common clinically used antibiotics¹⁶ has resulted in the need to develop new and effective antibiotic chemotherapies. There are now limited therapeutic options for many diseases caused by bacterial pathogens and the situation is expected to worsen in the future as bacteria exchange resistance genes. Indeed, the development of alternative antibacterial treatment modalities has become crucial and is considered by the World Health Organisation (WHO) to be one of the most serious challenges facing medical science.¹⁷ For a number of reasons reviewed elsewhere,¹⁸ it is unlikely that the previous methods of antibiotic discovery/development will be as successful in the future and new treatment modalities are urgently required. Traditional medicines and herbal remedies have great potential for antimicrobial drug development and there has recently been a substantial increase in interest in this field.¹³⁻¹⁹ Despite the potential of plants to provide us with useful pharmaceutical agents, the field is still relatively poorly studied. Only an estimated 5⁻¹⁰ % of the approximately 300,000⁻⁵00,000 plant species worldwide have been screened for one or more bioactivities.⁵ With so many plant species yet to be tested, it is essential that plant selection processes narrow the field. The main selection criteria currently used is to select plants on the basis of ethnomedical usage as traditional medicines. Another important selection method is to examine plants based on their phytochemical composition. The study of plants with high antioxidant contents is often particularly fruitful as high antioxidant contents have been associated with a decreased incidence of chronic diseases²⁰ and can prevent the development of some degenerative diseases including cancer,²¹ cardiovascular diseases,²² neural degeneration,²³ diabetes and obesity.²⁴ Several studies have highlighted the high antioxidant contents of several Australian native fruits²⁵⁻²⁶ and the therapeutic properties for some of these species have been reported. Terminalia ferndiniana Excell. has been particularly well studied and has been reported to have anticancer activity,²⁷⁻²⁸ anti-inflammatory activity²⁹ and anti-giardial activity.³⁰⁻³¹ It also has potent antibacterial activity,³²⁻³³ including a methicillin resistant strain of Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA).³⁴ Tasmannia lanceolata R. Br. has also been relatively well studied and has been shown to have anti-giardial activity,³⁵ as well as broad spectrum antibacterial activity.³⁶⁻³⁸ Similarly, several Australian Syzygium spp. have also been reported to have good anticancer³⁹ and antibacterial activities.⁴⁰⁻⁴¹ Kunzea pomifera F. Muell., Acronychia acidula F.; Muell and Citrus glauca (Lindl.) Burkhill have also been reported to have noteworthy antibacterial activity.⁴² Despite these earlier studies, many of the other high antioxidant Australian plants have been relatively neglected.

Davidsonia pruriens F. Muell. (family Cunoniaceae; commonly known as Davidson’s plum or ooray) is a medium sized rainforest tree (Figure 1a) that is endemic to the north-eastern regions of Australia. Its leaves are large and compound (Figure 1b) and it produces dark purple to black fruit in clusters on the trunk and branches (Figures 1c and 1d). The fruit were popular with the first Australians as a highly nutritious food and have high antioxidant contents. Indeed, D. pruriens fruit have been reported to have radical scavenging activity and total reducing capacities of 3.2 and 49 μmol/g of fresh fruit respectively.²⁷ This noteworthy antioxidant capacity is attributable to the phytochemical constituents of the fruit. Several triterpenoids, including methyl 3-oxo-bauer-7-en-28-oate (Figure 1e) and 3-oxo-bauer-7-ene-28-oic acid (Figure 1f) have been identified in D. pruriens fruit.⁴³ Of particular interest, the fruit is rich in the anthocyanidin glycosides delphinidin-3-sambubioside (Figure 1g), cyanidin-3- sambubioside (Figure 1h), 3-peonidin-sambubioside (Figure 1i) and petunidin-3-sambubioside (Figure 1j).²⁷ Many flavonoids,
including anthocyanin, have bacterial growth inhibitory activity. Despite this, *D. pruriens* has yet to be rigorously tested for antibacterial activity. The present study was undertaken to test fruit and leaf extracts against a panel of human bacterial pathogens.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant material**

*Davidsonia pruriens* F.Muell. fruit and leaves were harvested from a confirmed plant on Nathan campus of Griffith University, Australia. The plant was monitored for 3 months prior to harvesting to ensure that it received no pesticides or fertilisers and none were used in a 3 metre radius of the plant. Voucher specimens of the fruit (GU2019DPFa) and leaves (GU2019DPLa) are stored in the School of Environment and Science, Griffith University. The harvested leaves and flowers were washed in deionised water and processed within 4 hours of collection. The leaves were dried in a Sunbeam food dehydrator and the dried material was ground to a coarse powder. Individual 1g masses of the dried plant material was extracted extensively in 50mL methanol (Ajax, AR grade) or deionised water for 24 hours at 4°C with gentle shaking. The extract was filtered through filter paper (Whatman No. 54) under vacuum followed by drying by rotary evaporation. The resultant pellet was dissolved in 10mL deionised water. The extract was passed through 0.22µm filter (Sarstedt) and stored at 4°C.

**Qualitative phytochemical studies**

Phytochemical analysis of the *D. pruriens* fruit and leaf extracts for the presence of saponins, phenolic compounds, flavonoids, phytosteroids, triterpenoids, cardiac glycosides, anthraquinones, tannins and alkaloids was conducted by standard assays.\(^{47, 68}\)

**Antibacterial screening**

**Test micro-organisms**

All media were purchased from Oxoid Ltd., Australia. Reference strains of *Escherichia coli* (ATCC157293), *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (ATCC31488), *Proteus mirabilis* (ATCC21721) and *Streptococcus pyogenes* (ATCC19615) were purchased from American Tissue Culture Collection (ATCC), USA. Clinical isolate microbial strains of *Aeromonas hydrophilia*, *Alcaligenes faecalis*, *Aspergillus niger*, *Bacillus cereus*, *Candida albicans*, *Citrobacter freundii*, *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Salmonella newport*, *Serratia marcescens*, *Shigella sonneii*, *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Staphylococcus epidermidis* strains were obtained from Ms. Michelle Mendell and Ms. Jane Gifkins, Griffith University. All bacterial stock cultures were subcultured and maintained in nutrient broth at 4°C. The fungal strains were cultured in Sabourand broth (Oxoid, Australia).

**Evaluation of antimicrobial activity**

Antimicrobial activity of the *D. pruriens* fruit and leaf extracts was determined using a modified disc diffusion assay.\(^{46-48}\) Briefly, 100µL of each microbial suspension in log phase was spread onto individual nutrient agar plates (or Sabourand agar for the fungal strains) and the extracts were tested for antimicrobial activity using 6mm sterilised filter paper discs. The discs were each infused with 10µL of the individual plant extract, allowed to dry and placed onto the inoculated plates. The plates were allowed to stand at 4°C for 2h before incubation at 37°C for 24h. The diameters of the zones of inhibition (ZOIs) were measured to the closest whole millimetre. Each assay was performed three times in triplicate \((n=9)\). Mean values (± SEM) are reported in this study. Standard discs of ampicillin (10µg), chloramphenicol (10µg) and nystatin (100µg) were obtained from Oxoid, Australia and were used as positive controls to compare antibacterial and antifungal activity. Filter discs infused with 10µL of distilled water were used as a negative control.

**Artemia franciscana nauplii lethality assay**

Toxicity was tested using an adapted *Artemia franciscana* nauplii lethality assay ALA.\(^{32, 35}\) Briefly, *A. franciscana* nauplii were incubated in the presence of the extracts, reference toxin (1mg/mL potassium dichromate) or artificial seawater (negative control) at 25±1°C under artificial light. All treatments were performed three times in triplicate \((n=9)\). The number of dead were counted in each well at 24h, 48h and 72h. At the completion of the 72h exposure period, the remaining live nauplii were sacrificed and the total number of nauplii in each well were counted and used to calculate the % mortality per well. LC\(_{50}\) values were calculated for each treatment using probit analysis.

**Statistical analysis**

Data are expressed as the mean ± SEM of three independent experiments with internal triplicates \((n=9)\). One way ANOVA was used to calculate statistical significance between control and treated groups, with a \(P\) value <0.01 considered to be statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**Liquid extraction yields and qualitative phytochemical screening**

Extraction of 1g of dried and powdered *D. pruriens* fruit and leaves with yields between 144 and 283mg of extracted material (Table 1). In general, methanol was a more effective extractant than water and the fruit extracts contained greater masses of extracted material than the corresponding leaf extracts. The extracts were resuspended in 10mL of deionised water (containing 1% DMSO), resulting in the extract
concentrations shown in Table 1. Qualitative phytochemical studies showed that both methanolic and aqueous extracts of both the fruit and leaves had similar phytochemical profiles. All contained high levels of phenolic compounds and flavonoids, as well as moderate levels of tannins. Lower levels of saponins and triterpenoids were also detected in all extracts. All other classes of compounds were either absent or below the threshold of detection in these assays.

Antimicrobial activity

To determine the growth inhibitory activity of the *D. pruriens* fruit and leaf extracts, aliquots (10µL) of each extract were screened in the disc diffusion assay. The *D. pruriens* fruit and leaf extracts were ineffective at inhibiting the growth of all gram-negative (Figure 2) and gram positive (Figure 3) bacterial species tested. In contrast, both positive control antibiotics (ampicillin and chloramphenicol) were effective growth inhibitors, with ZOIs of up to 14.3mm (ampicillin against *A. facalis*). We were therefore unable to determine the MIC values for any extract as they were completely ineffective at all concentrations tested. Similarly, all of the extracts were ineffective at inhibiting the growth of the three fungal species screened in this study (Figure 4).

Quantification of toxicity

The toxicity of the *D. pruriens* fruit and leaf extracts was initially tested at 2mg/mL in the *A. fransciana* nauplii bioassay (Figure 5). The mortality in the presence of all extracts was not significantly different to that of the untreated control at 24h and thus were deemed to be non-toxic. Extracts with 24h LC₅₀ values >1000µg/mL have previously been defined as non-toxic. In contrast, the potassium dichromate positive control induced substantial mortality within 4h (results not shown), with 100% mortality induction seen by 24h. The mortality increased following exposure to the *D. pruriens* fruit and leaf extracts at 48h and was further increased following 72h exposure.

**DISCUSSION**

The development of new antibiotic chemotherapies is a high priority for medical science due to recent increases in bacterial resistance to many antibiotics. A concurrent decrease in the discovery of new antibiotic medicines by conventional strategies has increased interest in re-evaluating medicinal plants for new antibiotic chemotherapies. *D. pruriens* was deemed to be a good candidate for antibacterial screening due to its high antioxidant capacity, as other high antioxidant plants have been reported to have noteworthy antibacterial activity. Phenolic phytochemicals are generally strong antioxidants that protect cell constituents against oxidative damage by scavenging of free radicals, thereby averting their deleterious effects on nucleic acids, proteins and lipids in cells. Interestingly, studies into the antioxidant/prooxidant effects of extracts from various plant species have demonstrated that the ability of a plant extract to exert antioxidant activity depends on multiple factors. *Aloe vera* antioxidant components for example may function as either an antioxidant or an oxidant, with their action being dependent upon their concentration, with some compounds having both antioxidant and pro-oxidant properties at different concentrations. These differential pro-oxidant/antioxidant effects at different concentrations relate to the balance between the free radical scavenging activities and reducing power of the individual components.

Notably, antibacterial activity was only tested in this study using the disc diffusion assay as it is a rapid method and it has previously been widely utilised in other studies, allowing for easy comparisons between studies. However, as the disc diffusion method is reliant on the diffusion of a molecule through the aqueous environment of an agar gel, this assay may be affected by the solubility of the extract compounds in the aqueous environment. Polar compounds that are highly soluble in water would be expected to diffuse easily in the gel, whereas less soluble compounds would not diffuse as readily and thus be concentrated around the disc. Diffusion of molecules within an agar gel is also affected by the size of the molecules. The movement of large, complex phytochemicals through agar gels by diffusion would also be retarded and may provide a false idea of the efficacy of an extract. For this reason, whilst this is a handy assay for

**Figure 2:** Growth inhibitory activity of *D. pruriens* fruit and leaf extracts and reference antibiotics against gram-negative bacterial species measured as ZOIs (mm) ± SEM. F = fruit; L = leaf; M = methanolic extract; W = aqueous extract; Amp = ampicillin (10µg); Chl = chloramphenicol (10µg); NC = negative control. All assays were completed three times, each with internal triplicates (n=9) and the results are expressed as mean zones of inhibition (mm) ± SEM.

**Figure 3:** Growth inhibitory activity of *D. pruriens* fruit and leaf extracts and reference antibiotics against gram-positive bacterial species measured as ZOIs (mm) ± SEM. F = fruit; L = leaf; M = methanolic extract; W = aqueous extract; Amp = ampicillin (10µg); Chl = chloramphenicol (10µg); NC = negative control. All assays were completed three times, each with internal triplicates (n=9) and the results are expressed as mean zones of inhibition (mm) ± SEM.
Table 1: The mass of dried extracted material, the concentration after resuspension in deionised water and qualitative phytochemical screenings of the *D. pruriens* fruit and leaf.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fruit</th>
<th>Leaf</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Methanolic extract</td>
<td>Aqueous extract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass of extracted material (mg)</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>28.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentration of resuspended extract (mg/mL)</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>22.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total phenols</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenols</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water soluble phenols</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insoluble phenols</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Froth persistence</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saponins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emulsion test</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac glycosides</td>
<td>Keller-Kiliani Test</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triterpenoids</td>
<td>Salkowski Test</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phytosterols</td>
<td>Acetic Anhydride Test</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Meyer’s Test</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkaloids</td>
<td>Wagner’s Test</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Draggendoff’s Test</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavonoids</td>
<td>Kumar Test</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tannins</td>
<td>Ferric Chloride Test</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthraquinones</td>
<td>Free</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

+++ indicates a large response; ++ indicates a moderate response; + indicates a minor response; - indicates no response in the assay.

**Figure 4:** Growth inhibitory activity of *D. pruriens* fruit and leaf extracts and reference antibiotics against fungal species measured as ZOIs (mm) ± SEM. F = fruit; L = leaf; M = methanolic extract; W = aqueous extract; Nys = Nystatin (100µg); NC = negative control. All assays were completed three times, each with internal triplicates (n=9) and the results are expressed as mean zones of inhibition (mm) ± SEM.

**Figure 5:** The lethality of the *D. pruriens* fruit and leaf extracts, potassium dichromate control (PC; 1000µg/mL) and seawater negative control (NC) following 24, 48 and 72 hr of exposure. F = fruit; L = leaf; M = methanolic extract; W = aqueous extract. All bioassays were performed three times in triplicate (n=9) and are expressed as mean ± SEM. * indicates results that are significantly different to the untreated (seawater) control at the equivalent exposure time (P<0.01).
screening aqueous extracts, this technique may not be ideal for nonpolar compounds. For examining nonpolar mixtures, other techniques such as liquid dilution assays may be preferred. Liquid dilution studies may have been better suited to screen *D. pruriens* fruit and leaf extracts for activity and future studies will use these techniques to re-examine the extracts for antibacterial activity.

The findings reported here also indicate that the extracts examined were non-toxic (24 h LC$_{50}$ >1000 µg/mL) in the *Artemia* nauplii bioassay. Whilst toxicity was assessed in this study with the test organism *A. franciscana*, toxicity towards *A. franciscana* has previously been shown to correlate well with toxicity towards human cells for many toxins. However, further studies are required to determine whether this is also true for the *D. pruriens* fruit and leaf extracts examined in these studies.

**CONCLUSION**

Methanolic and aqueous *D. pruriens* fruit and leaf extracts displayed no antibacterial activity in the disc diffusion assay against panels of human pathogenic bacteria and fungi. The extracts were also non-toxic towards *Artemia* nauplii.
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**PICTORIAL ABSTRACT**

**SUMMARY**

- *D. pruriens* fruit and leaf extracts were screened for the ability to block the growth of a panel of human bacterial pathogens.

- No inhibitory activity was evident against any of the bacterial species tested.

- Toxicity of the *D. pruriens* extracts was determined using the Artemia nauplii toxicity bioassay.

- Both the methanolic and aqueous extracts were non-toxic.
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